

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,675	SIMON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dalena Tran	3661	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dalena Tran. (3) _____.

(2) Duke Taylor. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gunderson et al. (US2003/0212480 A1), Delcheccolo et al. (6784828), Lutter et al. (US 2003/0212480 A1).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the applicant's representative argue the difference between the claim invention and the references cited. The examiner agree to consider the application in light of the formal amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required